

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. **FILING DATE** FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/647,230 Sokichi Takemura 031028 1894 08/26/2003 **EXAMINER** 23850 7590 10/08/2004 ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP NGUYEN, DUNG V 1725 K STREET, NW **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 1000** WASHINGTON, DC 20006 3723

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\forall
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9
Office Action Summary	10/647,230	TAKEMURA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dung V Nguyen	3723	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a linguistry within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commissANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 J	uly 2004.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	·	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A ority documents have been ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)

1

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shiue et al (USPN 5,846,269). Shiue et al discloses a metal-bonded grinding tool comprising a base, abrasive grains bonded to the base by means of a metal bond matrix containing a Cu alloy as a main component, wherein the metal bond matrix contains at least on of an alloy phase, a mixed phase and an intermetallic compound of Zr and Ti, wherein a content of the at least one of an alloy phase, a mixed phase, and an intermetalic compound of Zr and Ti in the metal bond matrix is in a range of 15 to 25 wt%, wherein the Cu alloy consisting of a bronze containing 23 wt% of Sn, wherein the abrasive grains are abrasive grains of diamond or cubic boron nitrate (note col. 3, line 3 to col. 4, line 9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3723

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiue et al (USPN 5,846,269). Shiue et al discloses the claimed invention as describe above, however, Shiue et al does not disclose that an intermetallic compound of Zr and Ti in the metal bond matrix is in a range of 6.4 to 14.1 wt%. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a range 6.4 to 14.1 wt%, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involved only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung V Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-0036. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30-3:00.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J Hail can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DVN October 5, 2004

> DUNG VAN NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jung vom hangen